

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104530
Title of the Manuscript:	Delineation and Mapping of Ground Water Quality in Kanchipuram District of Tamil Nadu using GIS Techniques
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? Yes</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</p> <p>In chapter 2, the authors should include a description of the Arc GIS Software.</p> <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes (minor changes, E.g.)</p> <p><i>Numerous studies have been conducted around the world to evaluate the geochemistry of groundwater [4]. The author writes that and only identify 1 paper. That is not rigorous.</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Here and there, the English in the manuscript could be improved. There are some instances of wordy sentences or expressions, as well as minor grammatical errors and typos.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The topic of this manuscript falls within the scope of International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. The present paper entails a level of details adequate for a journal paper. The objectives, novelty and methodology used in the investigation, as well as the results of the calculations are well presented and discussed. In conclusion, I think this is an interesting paper and a good contribution for the investigation of water quality.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniel Milian Pérez
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Brazil