

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_105638
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of TBHQ concentration on sensory quality of banana peel based 'sev' in package materials.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The research contains useful information on nutritional benefits of banana peel and its economic importance in Sev production. The experimental observation brings to light vital nutritional facts useful in manufacturing process and nutritional care. This study therefore contributes to the body of knowledge in both theoretical and practical context.</p> <p>The title accurately covers the scope of the investigation. But author should remove full stop at the end.</p> <p>The abstract points out important aspects of the research. However, it should start with short statement of problem which the study aims to correct. A brief of limitations of the study is also expected.</p> <p>Manuscript structure follows IMRAD format. Author needs to make the sections distinct with appropriate numbering.</p> <p>Findings from the investigation are scientifically acceptable and correct. The author employed effective methodology which gave the research good credibility.</p> <p>Author is consistent in reference formatting, though majority of included references are too old. Many assertions need citations.</p> <p>The experiment is well thought-out with impressive grammatical structure. Author needs to describe what Sev is for the understanding of non-Indian readers. This could appear early in the introduction bearing the origin, ingredients, varieties etc. Also, the Figures would look better in picture format rather than text. This could be designed in PowerPoint. Sources of Tables and Figures should be stated e.g Author's design (2023). Author(s) should provide more recent citations to back statements of fact in the text. Some of the statements that require citations have been highlighted in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Author displayed good mastery of grammatical structure adequate for scholarly communication. Aim and processes of the experiment were professionally reported in simple language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The conclusion is too brief. Implications of the experiment in theory and practice should be explained. For instance, how does the use of banana peel as ingredient in Sev production impact product quality or cost? Limitations of the study and suggestions for further investigations should be included.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oluleye Seun Ogunmola
Department, University & Country	Ekiti State University, Nigeria