

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_105363
Title of the Manuscript:	TRICHOBEZOAR DISCOVERED BY FOGD IN A YOUNG BREASTFEEDING WOMAN
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The authors should explain why a rather common case (more than 800 have been presented) is interesting to the community</p> <p>The term discovered by FOGD should be omitted A more eye-catching title would be better</p> <p>The abstract should contain a phrase about trichobezoars and more things about the case The case report should contain more details about previous history of the patient If celiac disease has been excluded Nutritional disorientation and anaemia suggest a long history of hair ingestion How authors should have suspected this The discussion needs to be rewritten and explain how psychiatric history can lead to trichophagia. Discuss main side effects of trichobezoars Mention gender of patient and connect it to the epidemiology Provide data about psychiatric comorbidities Explain why an endoscopic treatment was not attempted Provide more data about diagnosis</p> <p>Nita AF, et al. Human and Doll's Hair in a Gastric Trichobezoar, Endoscopic Retrieval Hazards <i>J Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition</i> 2020;71: 163-170</p> <p>Mirza MB, et al. Gastrointestinal trichobezoar: An experience with 17 cases <i>J Pediatric Surgery</i> 2020;55:2504-9</p> <p>Snorrason I, et al. Trichophagia and trichobezoar in trichotillomania: A narrative mini-review with clinical recommendations <i>J Obsessive-Compulsive Related Disorders</i> 2021;31:100680</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Language need more than just polishing Several papers could be used as a guide for the authors to access linguistic improvement eg a woman from a nursing home rather than a nursing woman or natural hair and hairlike material instead of hair, hairs and fibers</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiotis Tsibouris
Department, University & Country	NIMTS General Hospital, Greece