

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_104754
Title of the Manuscript:	“WATCH THEM YOUNG – TEACH THEM GROW” MANAGEMENT OF DEVELOPING ANTERIOR CROSS BITE IN MIXED DENTITION PERIOD USING VERSATILE 2X4 APPLIANCE
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The authors should highlight and emphasize the repercussions on health, speech, swallowing, development and growth of anatomical structures and therefore the physiology that the individual may have as a result of the lack of dentofacial treatment. Not only the esthetic part. In addition, care must be taken with the use of concepts and definitions, Orthodontics and maxillofacial orthopedics are not the same and one applies more in the mixed dentition age than the other.</p> <p>It is suggested that the title be shorter, denoting the type of study that was performed, and highlighting the maneuver or attachment implemented in the study. It is suggested that the play on words be eliminated. Is not a case report, it is a series of cases.</p> <p>It is suggested to improve the writing and syntax of the abstract. The general conclusions of the approach taken are not shown. CARE Case Report Guidelines should be followed The bibliographic references are very outdated; it is suggested to use more updated references.</p> <p>Avoid using information from other articles when these are not cited or referenced. One article may resemble another, however, the correct way of citing and referencing allows for diversity in the literature. It is suggested to better describe, clinically and technically, the photographs.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language used is barely acceptable, there are grammatical and spelling errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The abstract must contain a standardized structure, has more of an introductory format, and does not describe the general objective, materials and methods, results and conclusions, as should be provided in an abstract. A description of what a 2x4 appliance is should be provided.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Edgar García Torres
Department, University & Country	Universidad Juárez del Estado de Durango, Mexico