

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJFRN_105309 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Antioxidant Activity Of Fermented Inferior Jember Robusta Coffee Beans Using Saccharomyces Cerevisiae As A Starter In The Semi-Carbonic Maceration Technique |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes, it is important</p> <p>2. Yes, It is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. More or less, I proposed to replace the figures by other statistical presentation of Box and Whisker Plot representation that could be in statgraphics softwar, and also it is recommanades to add ANOVA statistical tables.</p> <p>6. The refernces are new ansufficient, but they need to be homoginized in the part of bibliography, the authors need to check the authors instructions of the journal</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1. Yes</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Rifka Nakib |
| Department, University & Country | University of Vigo, Spain |