

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_105346
Title of the Manuscript:	Bilateral Pneumothorax - An Unusual Presentation of Marfan Syndrome
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. YES</p> <p>2. Spontaneous Bilateral pneumothorax : A rare major sign to an underlying diagnosis?</p> <p>3. YES</p> <p>4. YES</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. I have included the latest published guideline for reference</p> <p><i>Isselbacher E, Preventza O, et al. 2022 ACC/AHA Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Aortic Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2022 Dec, 80 (24) e223–e393.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2022.08.004</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The management part of the article needs to be updated and should include the latest updated published guidelines. I have edited the article and included the reference article</p> <p>2. The highlighted in blue segments of the articles , needs to be corrected</p> <p>a. Avoid short forms that are only known to your centre</p> <p>b. measurement units in SI</p> <p>3. Is the CT scan measurement done in cross section multiplanar reconstruction measurement or only 2D? I think the CTA Aortogram looks rather tortuous for the Aorta and a MPR measurement would be required to gauge the real size of the aorta</p> <p>a. Only include Figure 9c only for the CTA as this includes the thoracic and abdominal aorta. Remove Figure 9a and 9b</p> <p>b. Include a 3D reconstructed image of the Aorta (if available)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wooi Kok Lim
Department, University & Country	Sarawak Heart Centre, Malaysia