

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_105346
Title of the Manuscript:	Bilateral Pneumothorax - An Unusual Presentation of Marfan Syndrome
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrah.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment : <i>minor revision</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The reason why the coexistence of Marfan syndrome and pneumothorax is more than normal is the body structure of the patients. While reading this article, I hoped that the enzymatic origin of this relationship would be mentioned, while the entire study mentions the origin of the Marfan syndrome itself. And this rare life-threatening condition such as bilateral pneumothorax is mentioned in only 1-2 lines. I think that it has no contribution to the literature in terms of thoracic surgery. If it is desired to report the association of this rare disease with another rare condition, I would like to read the article again by giving more space to the treatment of pneumothorax in the discussion.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kemal Karapınar
Department, University & Country	Heath Science University, Hamidiye Medical School, Türkiye