

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_105454
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementation of Gender-Responsive Teaching Methods among Secondary School Teaching Staff in Mafia District, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. YES, it is important since gender responsive teaching method should be emphasize in school setting, where both genders sit in a class and learn the same knowledge, and give same responsive towards any respond from the teacher/staf.</p> <p>2. YES, it is suitable.</p> <p>3. YES, the abstract include all necessary requirement as an abstract.</p> <p>4. YES, appropriate</p> <p>5. YES,</p> <p>6. References is sufficient but need to add latest references/citations (example 2015 and above so that the article looks updated).</p> <p>Need to explain te instrumentation part. What questionnaire do you use? What sort of interview questions/ survey/ how the discussion. Just elaborate more on the instrumentation.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES, appropriate	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Need to add the instrumentation part, then ready for publication	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagoor Meera Bin Abdullah
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia