

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_105221
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Relationship Between Health Information Technology and Use of Prescribed Antihypertensive Medications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>CRITERIA FOR ADHERANCE MUST BE INCLUDED IN METHOD.</p> <p>YES</p> <p>COMMENTS: There are gender differences in adherence to medication and author should incorporate this in the study. Co-payment is another confounder in adherence to medication and author should add this in their study.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>NO. AUTHOR SHOULD MODIFY THE MARKED SENTENCES.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>NONADHERENCE IS A COMMON PROBLEM AND 43-65.5% OF HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS FAILS TO ADHERE TO PRESCRIBED REGIMNS. REASONS ARE MANY. TO IMPROVE THE ADHERENCE MULTIFACTORIAL APPROACH IS BETTER AS ONE SIZE DO NOT ALL.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shailendra Kumar Singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>