

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_105195
Title of the Manuscript:	ALBI sets apart melioidosis, scrub typhus from viral hepatitis, tuberculosis, enteric fever in a III-II grade score - a purposive review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	--	--

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Yes definitely)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (Yes, this is a better title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, gramatically errors only</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes, well within standards</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. References are sufficeint and recent (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is wonderfully scripted. Discussion part is within the standard of the journal. Requires some grammatical corrections and language editing Few images would have manuscript more robust. The author has wonderfully tried to explain the nature and course of mellodoises, scrub typhus as a disease. Furthur interesting updates will make the manuscript more demanding The abstract is compreshensive, subsections are collect sceintifically.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes, but requires minor editing in grammar</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is wonderfully scripted. Discussion part is within the standard of the journal. Requires some grammatical corrections and language editing Few images would have manuscript more robust. The author has wonderfully tried to explain the nature and course of mellodoises, scrub typhus as a disease. Furthur interesting updates will make the manuscript more demanding The abstract is compreshensive, subsections are collect sceintifically.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohit Raina
Department, University & Country	AIIMS, India