

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105934
Title of the Manuscript:	Foliar application of Nutrient and Hormonal consortia boost-up the growth parameters in greengram plants
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is useful to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. However, Abstract, Introduction, Materials & Methods and Discussion are considered, whether it's one's own or someone else's.</p> <p>5. The results of statistical analysis of variance are unclear. It is not found mean comparison of significant difference. The error bar presentations in all graphs are abnormal.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and current. Please follow template of Journal. All citations in the text must match in the list of references at the end of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>- The language/English of the article is suitable for academic communication. However, the written language is similar and redundant with other people's works in a way that is considered unethical in academics. It is recommended that the author re-edit this article to reduce the similarity and repetition of other people's work.</p> <p>- Please check the grammatical errors and misspellings.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- Please follow the manuscript template of Journal.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruchuon Wanna
Department, University & Country	Maharakham University, Thailand