

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105338
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Management of Whitefly (<i>Bemisia tabaci</i> Gennadius) to Prevent Chilli Leaf Curl Disease in Chilli Crop: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Begomoviruses are one of the most important plant virus group after aphid borne viruses. These viruses are transmitted by whitefly in a persistent matter. The manuscript has highlighted biology, ecology, mode of transmission and management of these viruses which is important for the scientific group.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Yes, abstract highlights all the key points in the text and has been summarised properly</p> <p>Yes, the headings and subheadings are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, references are recent and sufficient but the reference format is not correct</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of language is apt for scientific community but it will be better if the language could be simplified for the benefit of people who are new to this field.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The language can be more simplified Avoid repetitions The same type of paragraph writing has been followed in all the paragraphs after the paragraph Virus-Whitefly Interactions. Kindly change the way of writing paragraph. Better images can be used The references need to be edited</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arvind M
Department, University & Country	Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, India