

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104469
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Edaphic Parameters on the Regeneration Status of Terminalia chebula Retz. Across Garhwal Himalaya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes No Yes Yes, but not in a proper format No.1. Abstract should be rewritten. No.2. Try to improve the conclusion part No.3. Pictures/Photographs of the seedling of <i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz. must be included at different altitude (Highly recommended) No.4. please go through Table 2 "Methodology used for the analysis of different soil parameters" and try to correct it. No.5. All the references should be in a proper format.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It can be improved.	
Optional/General comments	Try to improve the english language.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chadetriik Rout
Department, University & Country	Maharishi Markandeshwar Deemed to be University, India