

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_104324 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Study of salinity in market garden production on the togolese coast |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This paper is important for the scientific community, especially for planting and production. 2. The article title given is by the article's contents. 3. The abstract has fulfilled the important points. However, the abstract should contain the research objectives, methodology (type of research, research subjects, sampling, data collection instruments and tools, research procedures, and data analysis), as well as research results and findings. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Scientifically, the manuscript is correct. 6. References are already using the latest edition. However, please add recent references (at least the last 5 years) 7. Kindly add GAP research analysis so that the novelty of this research is clear. 8. Abstract should use 1 paragraph. 9. Kindly explain whether the findings obtained are supported by previous research or expert opinion that strengthens or weakens the research in discussion. 10. in conclusion, it should answer the formulation of the problem, not rewrite the results of the research. In addition, in the conclusion there are findings and recommendations based on research results. 11. Kindly attention to the journal template. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | In general the article is good, coherent, and interesting | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Indah Suciati |
| Department, University & Country | Alkhairaat University, Indonesia |