

Original Research Article

Effect of Phosphorous and Mulching on Growth and Yield of *Zaid* Green gram (*Vigna radiata* L)

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *Zaid* 2022 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P) to study the response of green gram under various mulching techniques such as, Saw dust mulching, Paddy mulching and Without mulching along with combination of Phosphorus (20, 40 and 60 kg/ha). There were 10 treatments replicated thrice and laid out in Randomized Block Design. The treatment combinations were as follows: treatment 1 [Phosphorous 20kg/ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha], 2 [Phosphorous 20kg/ha + Paddy mulching 5t/ha], 3 [Phosphorous 20kg/ha + Without mulching], 4 [Phosphorous 40kg/ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha], 5 [Phosphorous 40kg/ha + Paddy mulching 5t/ha], 6 [Phosphorous 40kg/ha + Without mulching], 7 [Phosphorous 60kg/ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha], 8 [Phosphorous 60kg/ha + Paddy mulching 5t/ha], 9 [Phosphorous 60kg/ha + Without mulching] and treatment 10 [Control]. Growth, yield attributes and economics were recorded and subjected to analysis of variance. The results revealed that significant and high plant height (43.24 cm), plant dry weight (5.32 g), number of pods/plant (30.8), number of seeds/pod (11.73), test weight (31.2 g), seed yield (1.29 t/ha), stover yield (2.89 t/ha), gross return (90,300.00 INR/ha), net return (58,915.00 INR/ha) and B:C ratio (1.88) were recorded in treatment 7 [Phosphorous (60kg/ha) + Sawdust mulching (22.5kg/ha)].

Keywords: *Mulching, Phosphorus, Growth, Yield, Economics.*

INTRODUCTION

Green gram is an important conventional pulse crop of India. It is also called as “Golden Bean” or “Moongbean”, belonging to the family “leguminaceae”. It can be cultivated on wide range of soils and yield best on well drained loamy to sandy-loam soils. Green gram is best suited to areas having an annual rainfall of 60 to 75 cm with temperature range of 25°C to 35°C. Green gram improves physical properties of soil and fixes atmospheric nitrogen. It is high in nutritive value, and due to this, has advantage over the other pulses. The seed contains protein (24.20%), fat

(1.30%), and carbohydrates (60.4%), calcium is 118 mg and phosphorus is 340 mg/100gram of seed, respectively. Globally, Pulses are grown by 171 countries over an area of 148.42 lakh hectares with production of 150.84 lakh tonnes and productivity of 1016 kg/ha (DPD, 2021). India ranks first in the world as producer, consumer and importer of pulses with an area of 29.03 million hectares, production of 23.40 million tons and productivity was 806 kg/ha. The cultivation of green gram in the Uttar Pradesh is about 0.51 lakh hectares with the production of 0.22 lakh tonnes and 526 kg/ha average productivity (GOI, 2021).

Phosphorus deficiency is a problem usually is the most important single factor which is responsible for poor yield of pulses on all soils. It is a major constituent of protein and nucleic acids. The cost of nitrogenous and phosphatic fertilizers is increasing day by day (Singh *et al.*, 2021). Non-addition of P in soil leads to decreasing the yield and quality of the crop. Phosphorus (P) is one of the most important elements among the three macronutrients that plants must require for the better growth and development. Addition of P fertilizer enhances root development, which improves the supply of other nutrients and water to the growing parts of the plants, resulting in an increased photosynthetic area and thereby more dry matter accumulation. Phosphorus plays a vital role in photosynthesis, respiration, energy storage, energy transfer, cell division, cell elongation and several other processes within plant system. It promotes early root formation, growth and improves harvest index of crops. Phosphorus, when applied to legumes, enhances the activity of *Rhizobia* by increasing nodulation and thereby helps in atmospheric nitrogen fixation. It helps in better nodulation and efficient functioning of nodule bacteria for fixation of N which will be utilized by plants during grain- development stage, and in turn lead to increased green yield (Singh *et al.*, 2018).

Weeds grow more vigorously in summer without mulching and pose a serious threat to its cultivation (Verma *et al.*, 2019). Mulching reduces evaporation, soil erosion, increasing infiltration and population of micro-organisms, improve soil moisture status, nutrient utilization, soil temperature regulation and can suppress weeds, due to delayed emergence and smothering effect on weeds Agronomic intervention like mulching proves to be a practical solution for maintaining the optimum soil thermal and moisture regimes and ultimately higher crop yields.

After the harvest of the paddy straw, farmers it in abundance and usually being burnt in the field which pollutes the environment. Thus, using paddy straw for mulch in various field crops as well as vegetables can unravel the menace. Further, it is best organic mulch which in addition to the

paddy straw mulch adds organic matter to the soil after its decomposition. The mulch application helps in the blockage of the transportation of the vapours from the soil surface by acting as a barrier to the soil and altering the net radiation arriving at the soil surface. It also helps in the suppression of the growth of the weeds, moderating the soil surface temperature, modifying the microclimatic conditions and helps in prevention of soil erosion. Paddy straw mulching helps in the tying up of soil nitrogen and the promotion of nitrogen in leguminous crops like green gram. Hence, it is hypothesized that with the application of mulch, a lesser number of irrigations are required by the crop. With this in mind, the experiment was carried out to determine the **effect of phosphorous and mulching on growth and yield of Zaid Green gram.**

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during *Zaid* 2022 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P). Saw dust mulching, Paddy mulching and Without mulching along with combination of Phosphorus (20, 40 and 60 kg/ha). The soil of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 8.0), low in organic carbon (0.62 %), available N (225 kg/ha), available P (38.2 kg/ha) and available K (240.7 kg/ha). There were 10 treatments replicated thrice and laid out in Randomized Block Design. The treatment combinations were as follows: treatment 1 [Phosphorous 20kg/ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha], 2 [Phosphorous 20kg/ha + Paddy mulching 5t/ha], 3 [Phosphorous 20kg/ha + Without mulching], 4 [Phosphorous 40kg/ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha], 5 [Phosphorous 40kg/ha + Paddy mulching 5t/ha], 6 [Phosphorous 40kg/ha + Without mulching], 7 [Phosphorous 60kg/ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha], 8 [Phosphorous 60kg/ha + Paddy mulching 5t/ha], 9 [Phosphorous 60kg/ha + Without mulching] and treatment 10 [Control]. Growth parameters, yield attributes and economics were recorded. The Data recorded on different aspects of crop, such as, growth parameters, yield attributes were subjected to statistically analysis by analysis of variance method (**Gomez and Gomez, 1976**).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Growth Parameters of Green gram

Plant height (cm)

The [results in Table 1 showed significant difference such that](#) high plant height (48.17 cm) was recorded in treatment 7 [Phosphorous (60kg/ha) + Sawdust mulching (22.5 kg/ha)]. However, treatments 8 [Phosphorous 60kg/ha) + paddy mulching (5 t/ha) and [Phosphorous (60kg/ha) + Paddy mulching (5t/ha)] was at par with the treatment 7 [Phosphorous (60kg/ha) + Sawdust mulching (22.5kg/ha)]. [Mulching \(22.5 kg/ha\) and less competition for resource like moisture and nutrients](#) among the plants [as well as](#) less density which might have led to an increased availability of resource. Similar results were reported by **Verma *et al.* (2017)**. Further, [the](#) application of phosphorus (60 kg/ha) [might have](#) enhanced metabolic activities within plant system which increased cell division of the plant and resulted better plant growth particularly plant height. Similar, results were reported by **Gadi *et al.* (2018)**.

[High](#) plant dry weight (7.55 g) was recorded in treatment 7 (Phosphorous 60 kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5 kg/ha). However, treatment 8 (Phosphorous 60 kg/ha+ Paddy mulching (5 t/ha) was statistically at par with the treatment 7 (Phosphorous 60 kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5 kg/ha) [Table 1]. [This](#) might be due to less competition among the plants for resources like moisture and nutrients reduce less density and dry weight of weeds which might have led to an increased availability of resources, resulting in highest growth and dry matter accumulation in green gram under dust mulching **Verma *et al.* (2017)**. Further, [high](#) dry weight was recorded with application of phosphorus (60 kg/ha) might be due to increased availability of phosphorous in the soil, which improves nutrient availability status resulting in increased root and shoot development of the plant and dry matter accumulation. Similar results were reported by **Das *et al.* (2017)**.

The highest crop growth rate (4.96 g/m²/day) was recorded in treatment 7 (Phosphorous 60 kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5 kg/ha) and there was no significant difference between them [Table 1].

Yield and yield attributes of Green gram

Number of pods/plant

Results showed significant difference on pods per plant and 30.80 was recorded in the treatment 7 [Phosphorous (60kg P₂ O₅ /ha) + Sawdust mulching (22.5kg/ha)]. However, treatment-8 [Phosphorous (60kg P₂ O₅ /ha) + paddy mulching (5t/ha)] 4 [Phosphorous (40kg P₂ O₅ /ha)+sawdust mulching22.5kg/ha)] were at par with 7 [Table 2]. The application of sawdust mulching might retain high amount of soil water with efficient use of nutrients for enhancing plant growth particularly number of pods/ plant which is also directly correlated with yield. Similar results were also described by Singh *et al.* (2021). Further, the application of phosphorus might enhance development of reproductive structure and increased metabolic activity in plant system, which leads to development of more number of pods/plant. Similar result was also reported by Gadi *et al.* (2018).

Maximum number of seeds/pod (11.73) was observed in the treatment 7 [Phosphorous 60kg P₂ O₅ /ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha)]. However, treatment 8 [Phosphorous 60kg P₂ O₅ /ha + Paddy mulching 5 t/ha] was at par with the treatment 7 [Phosphorous 60kg P₂ O₅ /ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha)] [Table 2]. The application of sawdust mulching might effected less competition among the plants for resources like moisture and nutrients was due to less density and dry weight of weeds which have led to an increased availability of resources, resulting in highest growth. Similar result was reported by Verma *et al.* (2017). Further, the application of phosphorus may due to, it plays a vital role in plant growth, photosynthesis, flowering, seed setting and nitrogen fixation which ultimately resulted in enhancement of yield. Similar results were reported by Sahu *et al.* (2021).

High test weight (31.20 g) was registered in the treatment 7[Phosphorous 60kg P₂ O₅ /ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha]. However, treatment 8 [Phosphorous 60kg P₂ O₅ /ha + Paddy mulching 5t/ha] was at par with the treatment 7 [Table 2]. The application of mulching might enhanced availability of moisture and moderation of soil temperature led to greater uptake of nutrients and reduced number of days taken to meet the required heat units for proper growth, development of plants and ultimately the yield attributes. Similar results were reported by

Paradhan et al. (2021). [Additionally](#) phosphorus may [have induced](#) root growth [resulting in](#) plant [absorbing](#) more nutrients from soil for effective dry matter production and translocation of photosynthates from leaves to reproductive parts for better development of seeds. Similar results were reported by **Gadi et al. (2018)**.

[High](#) seed yield (1.29 t/ha) was [recorded](#) in treatment 7 [Phosphorous 60kg P₂ O₅ /ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha]. Significant and maximum seed yield was observed with application of mulching [which induces a favorable](#) soil moisture regime and its better utilization in production of large number of seeds possibly by reducing floral abortion, maintenance of a steady flux of assimilates during grain filling, reducing the rate of leaf senescence and maintenance of photosynthetic activity of surviving leaves and enhanced remobilization of pre anthesis assimilates to seed during seed filling which helped in higher seed yield. Similar results were reported by **Pradhan et al. (2022)**. Further increase in seed yield with application of phosphorous may be due to increase in source capacity like plant height, number of branches and leaf area index as well as sink capacity like number of pods, number of grains, test weight and also better utilization of photosynthates towards sink due to increase in translocation from source to sink. Similar results were reported by **Singh et al. (2021)**.

[High](#) halum yield (1.29 t/ha) was observed [in](#) treatment 7 [Phosphorous 60kg P₂ O₅ /ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha]- [however](#), treatment 8 [Phosphorous 60kg P₂ O₅ /ha + Paddy mulching 5t/ha] [was](#) at par with the treatment 7. [This finding could be due to](#) phosphorous [which facilitated the](#) increased production of plant biomass, nodule number and weight and chlorophyll content in leaf exhibited significant positive correlation with stover yield. Similar results were reported by **Prajapathi et al. (2013)**.

[Harvest](#) index (30.89 %) was [recorded in](#) treatment 7 [Phosphorous (60kg P₂ O₅ /ha) + Paddy mulching (5t/ha)], [however](#) treatment-6 [Phosphorous (40kg P₂ O₅ /ha)+ without mulching], [was observed](#) to be statistically at par with the treatment 7. [Phosphorous application could cause this development as attributed contributions made in](#) plant height, number of branches, leaf area index, [number](#) of pods/plant, number of grains/pod, test weight and also better utilization of photosynthesis towards sink. Similar results were reported by **Singh et al. (2021)**.

Cost of cultivation, gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratio

[Results in Table 3](#) on cost of cultivation, gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio [were as](#) influenced by different treatment [combinations such that m](#)Maximum cost of cultivation (34135.00 INR/ha) was recorded in treatment [8 Phosphorous (60 kg/ha) + Paddy mulching (5 t/ha)] as compared to other treatments and lowest cost of cultivation (28735.00 INR/ha) was recorded in the treatment 3 [Phosphorous (20 kg/ha) + Without mulching]. [Treatment 7 \[Phosphorous \(60 kg/ha\) + Sawdust mulching \(22.5 kg/ha\)\]](#) gross returns (90300.00 INR/ha) [and net returns \(58915.00 INR/ha\), benefit Cost Ratio \(1.88\) outperformed the](#) other treatments. High B:C ratio was recorded with the application of Phosphorus (60/kg) may be due to increase in yield attributes, higher gross returns, net returns. Similar results were reported by **Verma *et al.* (2017)**.

Table 1: Effect of Phosphorus and Mulching on Growth Parameters of Green gram

S. No .	Treatments	Growth Parameters			
		Plant Height (cm) 60 DAS	Plant Dry weight (g) 60 DAS	Crop Growth Rate (g/m ² /day) 45-60 DAS	Relative growth rate (g/g/day) 15-30 DAS
1.	Phosphorous 20 kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5 kg/ha	45.20	5.95	4.89	0.1305
2.	Phosphorous 20 kg/ha+ Paddy mulching 5 t/ha	44.90	5.35	4.82	0.1275
3.	Phosphorous 20 kg/ha+ Without mulching	43.13	4.95	4.85	0.1321
4.	Phosphorous 40 kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5 kg/ha	46.61	7.15	4.94	0.1381
5.	Phosphorous 40 kg/ha+ Paddy mulching 5 t/ha	46.10	6.95	4.81	0.1350
6.	Phosphorous 40 kg/ha+ Without mulching	45.40	6.35	4.87	0.1333
7.	Phosphorous 60 kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5 kg/ha	48.17	7.55	4.96	0.1340
8.	Phosphorous 60 kg/ha+ Paddy mulching 5 t/ha	47.57	7.35	4.69	0.1366
9.	Phosphorous 60 kg/ha+ Without mulching	45.50	6.75	4.89	0.1342
10.	Control [R.D.F – 20:40:20]	45.30	6.15	4.95	0.1285
	F-test	S	S	NS	NS
	S Em (±)	0.39	0.04	0.12	0.0077
	CD (p = 0.05)	1.15	0.13	--	--

Table 2: Effect of Phosphorus and Mulching Yield and Yield Attributes of Green gram

S No	Treatments	Number of pods/ Plant	Number of Seeds/ Pod	Test Weight (g)	Seed yield (t/ha)	Stover yield (t/ha)	Harvest index (%)
1.	Phosphorous 20kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha	24.20	9.60	28.00	0.91	2.46	26.96
2.	Phosphorous 20kg/ha+ Paddy mulching 5t/ha	23.60	9.40	27.40	0.87	2.42	26.26
3.	Phosphorous 20kg/ha+ Without mulching	23.20	9.20	26.60	0.83	2.38	25.37
4.	Phosphorous 40kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha	28.80	11.20	29.80	1.16	2.71	29.12
5.	Phosphorous 40kg/ha+ Paddy mulching 5t/ha	27.40	10.80	29.40	1.10	2.65	28.86
6.	Phosphorous 40kg/ha+ Without mulching	24.60	10.20	28.80	1.03	2.58	28.48
7.	Phosphorous 60kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha	30.80	11.73	31.20	1.29	2.89	30.67
8.	Phosphorous 60kg/ha+ Paddy mulching 5t/ha	30.20	11.47	30.60	1.26	2.79	30.89
9.	Phosphorous 60kg/ha+ Without mulching	25.40	10.40	29.00	1.07	2.62	28.83
10.	Control [R.D.F – 20:40:20]	24.40	10.00	28.20	0.97	2.52	27.68
	F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S
	S Em (±)	0.28	0.11	0.34	0.07	0.08	0.99
	CD (p=0.05%)	0.84	0.33	0.72	0.22	0.25	2.93

Table 3: Effect of Phosphorus and mulching on Economics of green gram

S No	Treatments	Total cost of cultivation (INR)	Gross Returns	Net Returns	B:C ratio
1	Phosphorous 20kg/ha + Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha	30985.00	63700.00	32715.00	1.06
2	Phosphorous 20kg/ha+ Paddy mulching 5t/ha	33735.00	60900.00	27165.00	0.81
3	Phosphorous 20kg/ha+ Without mulching	28735.00	58100.00	29365.00	1.02
4	Phosphorous 40kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha	31185.00	81200.00	50015.00	1.60
5	Phosphorous 40kg/ha+ Paddy mulching 5t/ha	33935.00	77000.00	43065.00	1.27
6	Phosphorous 40kg/ha+ Without mulching	28935.00	72100.00	43165.00	1.49
7	Phosphorous 60kg/ha+ Sawdust mulching 22.5kg/ha	31385.00	90300.00	58915.00	1.88
8	Phosphorous 60kg/ha+ Paddy mulching 5t/ha	34135.00	88200.00	54065.00	1.58
9	Phosphorous 60kg/ha+ Without mulching	29135.00	74900.00	45765.00	1.57
10	Control [R.D.F – 20:40:20]	28935.00	67900.00	38965.00	1.35

CONCLUSION

Based on the above findings it is concluded that, green gram with the application of Phosphorous (60kg) along with Sawdust mulching (22.5kg/ha) recorded highest yield and benefit cost ratio.

REFERENCE

1. Das, S., Pareek, B.L., Kumawat, A., Dhikwal, S.R. (2013). Effect of phosphorous and biofertilizers on productivity of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in north western Rajasthan, India. *Legume Research* **36**(6): 511-514.
2. Deepak N, Shah J.A, Yemon M.Y. (2014). Effect of Organic mulching on soil moisture conservation and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Pak. J Agri. Engg. Vet. Sci.* **30**(1):54-66.
3. Directorate of Pulses Development (2021). <https://dpd.gov.in>
4. GOI (2020). Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, Agricultural Statistics Division, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi, <https://eands.dacnet.nic.in>.
5. Gomez, K.A and Gomez, A.A. (1976). Three more factor experiment in: Statistical procedure for agricultural Research 2nd edition pp:139-141.

6. Paradhan et al. (2021): Cited but not listed

5-7. Sahu et al. (2021): Cited but not listed

8. Singh K, Dhillon BS, Limba V. (2021). Effect of mulching on growth and productivity of Bt Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **10**(1):2610-2615.

Singh et al., 2018): Cited but not listed

1. ~~Verma, S. K., Kumar, R., Singh, S. B., Meena, R.S., Prasad, S. K. and Gaurav (2016). Weed dynamics in green gram as influenced by mulching and weed management practices under~~
2. Verma S.K, Singh S.B, Singh, G., Rai, O. P. (2008). Effect of mulching and irrigation on weeds and yield of summer green gram (*Vigna radiata*) in saline soil. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **78**(12):1082-1085.
3. ~~Verma, S. K., Kumar, R., Singh, S. B., Meena, R.S., Prasad, S. K. and Gaurav (2016). Weed dynamics in green gram as influenced by mulching and weed management practices under~~

Comment [H1]: Not cited but listed please check

eight ear old custard apple plantation in agri-horticultural system. *Amer. J. Exp. Agric.* **11**: 1-13.

4. Yadav, M., Yadav, S. S., Kumar, S., Yadav, T., and Yadav, H.S. (2017). Effect of Phosphorus and Bio-fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Urdbean [*Vigna mungo* L.) Hepper]. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* **18**(5): 1-7.
5. Yabi, Gadi., Sharma, Y. K., Sharma, S. K. and Jurisandhya, Bordoloi. (2018). Influence of phosphorus and potassium on performance of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) in Inceptisols of Nagaland *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* **20**(2): 120–124.
6. Sailasuta, Sahu., Tanmoy, Shankar., Sagar, Maitra., Rahul, Adhikary., Triptesh, Mondal. and Sarath, Kumar, Duvvada. (2021). Impact of phosphorus and sulphur on the growth and productivity of green gram (*Vigna radiata*). *Res. on Crops* **22** (4): 785-791
7. Prajapati, J. P., Santosh, Kumar., Singh, R. P., Kushwaha, I. K., Yadav, P. K. (2013). Effect of Phosphorus and Sulfur on Growth, Yield Attributes and Yield of Green Gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Environment and Ecology* **31** (4A): 1977-1979.
8. Akankhya, Pradhan and Rajesh, Singh. (2022). Influence of spacing and mulching on growth and yield of black gram (*Vigna mungo* L.) in Prayagraj condition. *The Pharma Innovation Journal* **11**(4): 623-625.