

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJECC_105155
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Problems Faced by the Permaculture Farmers of Paddy Based Agro Forestry System in Sub-Tropical Hill Agro-Climatic Zone of Meghalaya: AHP Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is relevant for the scientific community as it identified key constraints limiting the development of permaculture with paddy-based agroforestry. The identified key constraints by the authors could be a research subject for others researchers to explore and recommend management options for increasing paddy-based agroforestry systems productivity.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the manuscript corresponds to the contents and the results obtained by the authors. However, I suggested a small correction in the manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the manuscript is not comprehensive. I made some corrections in the manuscript to improve it. The problem, the objective, the methodology, the results and the conclusion are not clear. Also, the key words are not appropriate.</p> <p>4. Globally, the manuscript is well structured but the results and discussion section is not very well presented which make difficult to read and understand the manuscript. I have made some corrections in the manuscript to improve the structure of this section</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct as the methodology used is original. But unfortunately, the authors need to improve the english of the manuscript and to correct some grammatical errors. They also need to improve the presentation of the results and the discussion of these results. The conclusion also needs to be improved. I made some suggestions in the manuscript for this purpose.</p> <p>6. The manuscript is poorly referenced due to the fact that the results are not well presented and discussed. The authors should improve the discussion by reading more recent literature and citing them properly in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language needs improvement.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This is a good paper which could contribute in development of permaculture with paddy-based agroforestry but the authors need to improve the quality of the paper. Please find my comments in the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Coulibaly Yacouba Noël
Department, University & Country	Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique, Burkina Faso