

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104965
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil Health and Sustainability in the Age of Organic Amendments- A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for the scientific community. It covers a comprehensive review of organic amendments in sustainable agriculture, addressing various aspects such as their historical context, types, sources, nutrient profiles, and their impact on soil and plant health. The integration of modern technological advancements with traditional practices and the examination of economic, social, and environmental implications also suggest a broad and significant exploration of the topic.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the topic of organic amendments in sustainable agriculture. It covers various aspects related to organic amendments, including their historical context, types, sources, and nutrient profiles. The abstract also highlights the interaction of organic amendments with soil and plant health, and emphasizes the integration of modern technological advancements with traditional practices for enhancing the effectiveness of organic inputs. Overall, the abstract appears to be comprehensive in its coverage of the topic, providing a broad scope of information and addressing key aspects related to organic amendments in sustainable agriculture.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kupriyanova, Marina
Department, University & Country	Ryazan State Radio Engineering University, Russia