

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_105558
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF ABO/RHESUS ANTIGEN POLYMORPHISM ASSOCIATED WITH MALARIA IN A MALARIA HOTSPOT IN BAYELSA STATE, NIGER DELTA, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is health important for scientific community. ABO blood group antigen polymorphism associated with malaria. Prevention and treatment of malaria in relation to ABO blood groups is implicated in this study.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and recent. It not as per journal guidelines</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The writing of this journal manuscript is good.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suday Prasad
Department, University & Country	VKS College of Agriculture, Bihar Agricultural University, India