

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_104506
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional status and Existing early childhood feeding practice of under five children: A cross-sectional study in Rural Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes manuscript is the most important thing for formatting, ordering the research.</li> <li>2. Title was quite interesting and clear. But rural Bangladesh represents the whole rural place of Bangladesh or this research was done in appropriate place or district?</li> <li>3. Abstract doesn't represent the objective of the study.</li> <li>4. Yes it seems good.</li> <li>5. Objective section was not mentioned. According to objective we need to design our quantitative research questionnaire and according to that we need to inaugurate in finding. Either we meet our objectives or not.</li> <li>6. No any suggestions in reference section.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	It seems good. No objection in language.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Researcher should know the minor thing about the research is "What is my Objective of this study?" I haven't found the objective section in research. There should be clearly written general and specific objective either we will mention while publishing or not.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Srijat Dahal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kirat Yakthung Chumlung, India</b>