

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_105105
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of production areas of Ophiopogon Japonicus based on 1H-NMR fingerprints and stoichiometric method
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapri.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Ophiopogon Japonicus has many medicinal benefits which is necessary for treating many diseases and thus human life can be protected from life threatening diseases without side effects. So, research in this type of natural herbal medicinal plants is very crucial.</p> <p>Little modification in the title can be done. Give the title like geographical based productive determination using H-NMR and stoichiometric method</p> <p>Yes. But can be improved with more details.</p> <p>Methods applied and results analysis should be co-related with mathematical analysis.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Please add references if possible.</p> <p>The concept is good and please make some corrections mentioned above.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need little improvement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Nil</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajalakshmi M C
Department, University & Country	Vishweshwaraiah Technology University, India