

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJFAR_103590</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Utilization Chlorella sp. With Theh Addition Of EM4 As A Phytoremediation Agent On Samples Of Liquid Waste In The Cracker Industry, Indramayu Regency</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p> <p>1. INTRODUCTION</p> <p>2. LITERATURE REVIEW</p> <p>3. DATA ANALYSIS</p> <p>4. CONCLUSION</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The citation should be considered, it should start from the first, not from the fifth</li> <li>- Introduction in Paragraph 2 This statement is difficult to understand because there are two meanings, namely positive and negative impacts.</li> <li>- Introduction in Paragraph 4. need to add citations and explanations, so that this paragraph is more comprehensive.</li> <li>- Need to add a writing structure at the end of the introduction.</li> <li>- Need to add a literature review section.</li> <li>- Data analysis: It is necessary to provide more detail so that it is easier for the reader to understand it.</li> <li>- In conclusion need to add theoretical contributions and research potential in the future</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>No</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zeplin Jiwa Husada Tarigan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Petra Christian University, Indonesia</b>