

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_105003
Title of the Manuscript:	Resource use efficiency and constraints in the production of direct seeded rice in Haryana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes the manuscript is contributing to the scientific community by focusing on new methods of crop production (DSR) and their adoption by farmers also about the constraints they faced while adoption of these new methods, it is definitely important for scientific community to know these aspects or to get the feedback of farmers, so to provide solutions for their problems and focus on more similar researches.</p> <p>2. Can be improved (A Study on Resource use efficiency and Constraints in the production of Rice using direct seeding in Haryana)</p> <p>3. Yes, it is well written.</p> <p>4. Yes, no correction needed.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is correct, just need some minor changes.</p> <p>6. References are not written in a proper format, they need to be corrected and should be written following a proper format (Use at least two references from the manuscripts already published in this journal).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language/Vocabulary used in the article is accurate for the purpose of scholarly communication, Use of Commas (,) and spacing must be checked and revised.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anjali Tomar
Department, University & Country	RVSKVV, India