

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_103322
Title of the Manuscript:	Cut-off surface irrigation as an effective way of water saving for teosinte forage crop in clay soils
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, very important as it relate well to production of forage crops for animals.</p> <p>I agreed to some extent. But I will suggest it to be " Effect of cut-off Irrigation levels on Production of teosine forage crop in clay soil"</p> <p>See my comments on it. Also, Abstract here is about 346 words, as an International Journal, I think abstract should not be more than 300 words.</p> <p>Yes, very okay. I will suggest that Table 1 and 2 be placed under Result and Discussions.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is well written but need minimum corrections.</p> <p>All cited references were listed. But, should be re-arranged in Alphabetical order. Also, all old references should be replaced with new references, from 2010 to 2022.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The work is generally good and well written with results well presented.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khadijat Oyebisi Alabi
Department, University & Country	Kwara State University, Nigeria