

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_105367
Title of the Manuscript:	Nano Emulsion: The targeted drug delivery in the therapy of Epilepsy
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript presents as a short review on epilepsy, its kinds and ways of treatment as well as the newest advances in therapy in the form of nanoparticle-based drugs. It is logically organized and written in reader-friendly way thus it may have a significant value as a potential educational resource. It generally presents as a good read.</p> <p>The title of the article is relevant to its contents.</p> <p>The Abstract of the article outlines the key contents of the main text.</p> <p>The article consists of total 8 pages, including 2 adequately chosen and captioned tables and the list of total 28 literature references. The article misses a section on materials and methods that would be mentioning on what basis the Authors have chosen the literature sources they decided to use in the review.</p> <p>I have not detected any disqualifying flaws in the article. However, I am not specialized in neuropharmaceutical chemistry so a second review of the article is needed, performed by such a specialist, so the merit correctness of the information provided in the article can be directly judged before the final decision on publishing it is met.</p> <p>The literature references are recent enough. Considering the text is intended to be a review, the Authors may consider adding more supporting references to it.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The text has detectable English language flaws, mostly style deficiencies and word-for-word translations without taking into account the sentence's context and thus it would significantly benefit from a correction, preferably performed by an English native speaker.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>All abbreviations need to be explained when they are used in the text for the first time, even the seemingly obvious ones, e.c. "BBB penetration" is explained while used for the second time.</p> <p>The text is quite short for a review and the number of references is scarce for this type of article; thus it may be considered a mini-review.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Robert Suslo
Department, University & Country	Wroclaw Medical University, Poland