

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105203
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SOWING DATE AND NITROGEN ON QUALITY OF BEET LEAF (Beta vulgaris var. bengalensis)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes it is important for scientific community particularly in this time to reduce the environmental hazard due use of chemical fertilizers. It is suitable title of this article Yes Yes No, it needs some corrections particularly in Materials and Methods Add more recent references.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality needs to improvement.	
Optional/General comments	The writer should write table of metrological data because he always refers metrological to metrological metrological information in his discussion	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Badr Eldin Abdelgadir Moh. Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Kassala University, Sudan