

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_105086
Title of the Manuscript:	NEMATICIDAL PROPERTY OF PHYTOCHEMICAL ALPHA - TERTHIENYL AGAINST ROOT KNOT NEMATODE, <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i>
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljabb.com/index.php/JABB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. Yes Yes. Yet the discussion section needs major revision. Yes References till 2020 has been cited in the paper. Need recent updated literature relevant to the title. Discussion part needs elaborated justification for the results. Is there any study regarding the toxic effect of Alpha-terthienyl (99.9% purity) synthetic product on the host plant when applied for management of root knot nematode?	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to improve	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Valarmathi
Department, University & Country	ICAR-Central Institute for Cotton Research, India