

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_104996</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Irrigation and Sowing Techniques on Weed Flora in Alfalfa under South Saurashtra Agro-climatic Zone of Gujarat</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1-This study is especially sensitive in today's world where drought is experienced. Agricultural product pattern and irrigation techniques are very important according to climatic conditions 2- The title of the article is suitable. 3- The abstract should be rearranged. The abstract section should be more scientifically. For example; information about the study area, and irrigation technique, in which month of 2022 the application was made. 4- Substructures of the article are suitable 5- yes 6- The references are not sufficient. References must be at least 30	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The English grammar is suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>If the author/authors makes a minor revision, the article can be published.</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Nedim Özdemir</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Turkey</b>