

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104870
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF MAJOR POSTHARVEST DISEASES OF MANGO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>This manuscript is very good and is publishable and I strongly recommend it for publication.</p> <p>The title is very good and the result covers the title</p> <p>The abstract is good with little addition on conclusion and results.</p> <p>The subsections clearly described what is appropriate</p> <p>It is very good with scientifically publishable results</p> <p>The references are current and related to the work</p> <p>The author(s) should expatiate more on the abstract especially on the result and concluding statement.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, but with minor typographical errors.	
Optional/General comments	It is a very good research and its publishable	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gwa Iorungwa Victor
Department, University & Country	Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Nigeria