

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104856
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different tillage and nutrient management practices on yield attributes and economics of direct seeded rice
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes it is . Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is known to be a highly dominant grain crop worldwide. Twenty one per cent of human per capita energy, along with 15 per cent protein per capita, has been supplied by rice universally, a constituent of a major proportion of daily diet in many South-east Asian countries. Yes it is It needs some small changes Yes it is Yes it is Its not bad but I recommended to add some new references In papers that is the resulted of a research work its better to have a comprehensive abstract	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sepideh Hatami
Department, University & Country	Plant Protection Research Institute, Iran