

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_105881</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of Phosphorus and Sulphur application on Sesamum yield in High Phosphorus soils of Telangana</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1.The manuscript important for scientific community</p> <p>2.Title of the article suitable, good and clear.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate. <b>Materials and methods with subtitle : area study, experimental design, data collection methods/measurement of research results, data analysis</b></p> <p>5.The manuscript is good, supported by new research methods and complete data presented.</p> <p>6. The references sufficient and Need to add the recent references</p> <p><b>In the materials and methods section: explained the material used in the study, the 11 treatments in the study, the method of measuring research parameters, the analysis of research data.</b></p> <p><b>Discussion needs to be added by comparing with the latest studies in this field. not just the similar or has been confirmed by previous research</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dwi Susanto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mulawarman University, Indonesia</b>