

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105603
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SEED TREATMENT WITH BIOINOCULANTS ON SEED YIELD AND QUALITY PARAMETERS IN CHICKPEA (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. After reading the manuscript, I have found that it is very interesting study. The work is important and understandable to all readers. The content of the manuscript is suitable for publication</p> <p>2. The title of the paper is suitable.</p> <p>3. The objective of the study is relevant but suggest to rewrite the abstract section.</p> <p>4. yes</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. Suggest to include 4-5 recent references for upgrade your study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor editing of English language required</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The methods are adequately described The results are clearly presented The conclusions are supported by the results</p> <p>The paper can be accept after minor revision</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihaela Toderas
Department, University & Country	University of Petrosani, Romania