

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105084
Title of the Manuscript:	Biology of Fruit borer, <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hubner) on tomato under laboratory conditions
Type of the Article	SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes it is important to understanding the insect biology for adequate control</p> <p>Not very suitable so rather "Biology of Fruit borer, <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hubner) fecundity and survival in tomato under laboratory conditions"</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but the last paragraph on the introduction should normally carry your objectives and please correct the highlighted sentence.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References are sufficient but paragraph number 16 is a bit old and should be replaced also i will recommend the EndNote software for the paragraphs.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christopher Sunny Onotu
Department, University & Country	Nigerian Institute for Trypanosomiasis & Onchocerciasis Research, Nigeria