

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_104189 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Management of diseases and insect pest in marigold and gladiolus flower |
| Type of the Article | Extension based data collecting article. |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | Partially important. This study reflects disease and pest problem of a specific state in India and the farmer's management practice. Not really. Recommended name "A brief study on disease and pest management practices in marigold and gladiolus flower under Punjab State". Add one or two more sentence regarding your result. Yes. This paper only depends of frequency percent data. Not really. Add minimum 10 recent reference without predatory journal. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes. | |
| Optional/General comments | This paper require several numbers of corrections and focus on the study topic in conclusion part. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name: | Riman Saha Chowdhury |
| Department, University & Country | The Neotia University, India |