

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104189
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of diseases and insect pest in marigold and gladiolus flower
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I believe yes. This manuscript is important for scientific community. As both marigold and gladiolus are good cash crops, it's a good source for farm income. 2. It's suitable but I believe you the author need to mention the location in title "Management Practices of diseases and insect pest in marigold and gladiolus flower in Punjab State" 3. I believe yes, but the author needs to mention the location in abstract also and be sure, it is grammatically correct. 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. References are less, I will recommend the author adds some reference and please do not mention the reference as "Anonymous" (first line in Introduction) 	<p>*should confirm that the author is grammatically correct *Mention the country name in the first line of Introduction *One table in the result and discussion section related to demographic information will be better</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>			

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bikal Koirala
Department, University & Country	Odessa College, United States