

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_105085
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Sida corymbosa Leaf-Extract on the Lipid Profile of Carbon Tetrachloride-Injected Male Rats
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It seems important to investigate the effects of this plant on lipid profile. But I think it is repetitive work.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is pretty suitable. It is better to delete the all of "mean±SD" from abstract.</p> <p>It is better to add one section named "Carbon Tetrachloride injection".</p> <p>I think there are some important problems. I will mention below.</p> <p>No problem</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are gramer problems.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are many problems in manuscript which I will mention below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why do you inject Carbon Tetrachloride to rats. It is necessary to declare its important in the introduction. 2. When you use rats, it is important to use S.E.M instead S.D. 3. All of graphs must be revised again. 4. Subtitle of graphs are not complete. 5. Please use the bar in one side. 6. All groups on the horizontal axis must be written accurately 7. In general, the results need to major revision. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zakieh Keshavarzi
Department, University & Country	North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Iran