

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_105044</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Myocardial Infarction, Deep Venous Thrombosis and Pulmonary Embolism in COVID-19 Hospitalizations: Stats From the Nationwide Inpatient Sample 2020.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Thank you for asking my opinion about the manuscript entitled "Myocardial Infarction, Deep Venous Thrombosis and Pulmonary Embolism in COVID-19 Hospitalizations: Stats From the Nationwide Inpatient Sample 2020."</p> <p>I believe that this manuscript should be minor revision:</p> <p>Q1. It is very important to change and modify the title . the title is not appropriate.</p> <p>Q2. Are the objectives and the rationale of the study clearly stated?</p> <p>Q3. In the abstract , the research gap was not clearly stated . In addition, the authors need to rewrite the study objectives to be more academic writing</p> <p>Q4. In the introduction , include the study's significance and novelty. What makes the study different from the rest and what does it add to the current knowledge?.</p> <p>Q5. In the introduction, the authors should have explained the purpose of this study and the existing gaps in this field and explained why this study was conducted.</p> <p>Q6. Are the methods clear and replicable? Do all the results presented to match the methods described?</p> <p>Q7. If relevant are the results novel? Does the study provide an advance in the field? Is the data plausible?</p> <p>Q8. References are relevant , correct, and not recent . The number of references should be increased. please add some references. since this is a scientific review , all the sentences need to be supported with references.</p> <p>This study is very beautiful. I liked the sequence and enjoyed reading.</p> <p>Q9. There are a lot of grammatical errors. This must be taken care of and addressed.</p> <p>Q10. What are the limitations of the study ? A description of limitations is missing at the end of the discussion section.</p> <p>☐ If your manuscript is related to mine, you can cite it (ORCID: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5107-5550">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5107-5550</a>).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ali B. Roomi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Health &amp; Medical Technology, University of Al-Ayen, Iraq</b>