

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_104860
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF EFFECTS OF CULTURAL CHALLENGES AFFECTING THE WELLBEING OF WIDOWERS: A CASE OF SELECTED CHURCHES IN KAKAMEGA COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript has scientific worth. The methodology is short of essential details. There is, for instance, no justification for combining both quantitative and quality data. How many respondents participated in the qualitative interviews is unclear. Furthermore, there is no mention of ethical considerations.</p> <p>The abstract is not comprehensive.</p> <p>The structure is well laid out.</p> <p>The references are sufficient, but greater engagement with the cited literature might be appropriated.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Article requires revisions to be accepted for publication. Various cultural strategies of healing are not explored. What is the role of the Church Ministry Group/	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ngambouk Vitalis Pemunta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Gothenburg, Sweden</b>