

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_105161
Title of the Manuscript:	FROM SADNESS TO HEART DISEASE: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW ON THE CORRELATION BETWEEN DEPRESSION AND CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes it is important. 2. The title is suitable. 3. The Abstract is comprehensive. 4. The subsections are OK in the manuscript. 5. The manuscript brings important and significant scientific information. 6. The references range from nearly 15 years of age, and could be more recent, but it does not interfere with the final outcome. 7. The word "depression" appears in the text 101 times. Authors should try to decrease the numbers of appearance by using pronouns and condensing information. 8. The flow of the reading text is not very well planned. In average, every information contained in the text seems to end up with a period of three lines, which interferes in the speed and absorption of the information. 9. The authors use abbreviations for some diseases, such as Cardiovascular Disease (CAV). Once the abbreviation is given to the reader, there is no need to repeat the name of the disease; which also must use capital letters for its reference. I explain: Heart Rate Viability (HRV) instead of Heart rate viability. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It could be better, in spite of some mistakes sentences and adverbs such as as: Having symptoms in both the mental and physical realms, the aforementioned illness is systemic in nature. In particular (particularly), it interferes with the functioning of the immunological and neurological systems, which in turn affects mental and emotional processes.</p> <p>Also in: Clinically depressed people differ markedly from individuals going through normal grieving in that (as for what concerns not putting) they do not place blame for their emotional pain on external events or others (4).</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I recommend the manuscript's publication after the corrections concerning the reading text fluency as well as the corrections mentioned above.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luciano Barreto Silva
Department, University & Country	Faculdade de Odontologia do Recife, Brazil