

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_104109
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Whiteleg Shrimp <i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i> with Additional of Dolomite to the Feed
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes it is important.</p> <p>2- Yes but the Latin name must be enclosed in parentheses.</p> <p>3- No, the initial and final size averages must be specified for the summary section.</p> <p>4- No, there are deficiencies in the subsections as well. The material section should be rearranged, information such as shrimp sizes and water change, lighting should be given. The discussion part should be written more comprehensively.</p> <p>5- Current articles from old literature can be used in the article. Production performance of white shrimp <i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i> with super-intensive culture on different rearing densities. Dietary protein requirements of whiteleg shrimp (<i>Penaeus vannamei</i>) post-larvae during nursery phase in clear-water recirculating aquaculture systems</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramazan Serezli
Department, University & Country	Izmir Katip Celebi University, Turkiye