

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_103868
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT ALCOHOL CONCENTRATIONS ON THE MORPHOMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS OF <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> and <i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The chosen study topic is indeed intriguing and holds great potential. However, if the goal is to publish it as a research article in a journal, substantial revisions are necessary to address the numerous major flaws that have been identified. Furthermore, it is essential for the authors to give meticulous attention to presenting the data in a well-organized manner and utilize a proper way of addressing the facts.</p> <p>The current topic is suitable for the publication.</p> <p>The abstract is not properly organized and authors need to revised it.</p> <p>Yes, Authors have provided suitable subsections and structures. The conclusion section is missing from the article.</p> <p>Yes, authors need to justify their findings in a correct way to validate their results. They should have mentioned the exact time periods during which the length of fish species did not change at specific stages, for example, after how many days. Only then can they come to a conclusion and analyze how the percentage of alcohol will impact the changes. Without taking note of these details, their research would be useless.</p> <p>Please double check.</p> <p>Furthermore, the authors should pay attention to the graphical presentation of data, ensuring that graphs. Additionally, the authors should also focus on improving the writing style to avoid any ambiguous interpretations</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Other Minor comments and suggestions are provided with the manuscript with track changes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Authors have to adhere to journal guidelines carefully and strictly. Therefore, please recheck the journal guidelines and references inside the paper to make all these correct properly. Reference should be rechecked and arranged into one format. Some are indifferent arrangements, please make all those correct.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	H. K. S. de Zoysa
Department, University & Country	Rajarata University of Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka