

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_104119
Title of the Manuscript:	RADIOLOGICAL SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF AGRICULTURAL SOIL WITHIN THE BITUMEN BELT OF ONDO STATE NIGERIA, USING RESRAD-ONSITE AND RESRAD-BIOTA CODES
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Important. Soil contamination with various pollutants has been sufficiently studied and work in this direction is still ongoing. And with radionuclide contamination, not so many works are devoted and there is not enough consistency. Although the etiology of some diseases is radionuclide contamination of soils. In this regard, the data obtained by the authors can be used by specialists who deal with public health problems.</b></p> <p><b>Fits</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Corresponds</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Add recent references</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>English need to be improved</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Check and follow guidelines</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Elsan Shamilov</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Radiation Problems, Azerbaijan</b>