

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_104810
Title of the Manuscript:	Dependence of the Seebeck Coefficient on Specific and Universal Electrical Conductivities of Bi₂Sr₂Co_{1.8}O_y Thermoelectric Doped with Strontium Borate and Graphene
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. It is an okay manuscript. It has little importance in scientific community.</p> <p>2. Influence of Seebeck Coefficient on Specific and Universal Electrical Conductivities in Thermoelectric Bi₂Sr₂Co_{1.8}O_y with Strontium Borate Dopant or Graphene Additive</p> <p>3. Should rewrite to reflect the manuscript comprehensively.</p> <p>4. No, it's not complete. Need to add a conclusion.</p> <p>5. He just wrote three that does not reflect the goal of the research.</p> <p>6. He may add a reference "Numerical study of temperature dependent viscosity and thermal conductivity on a natural convection flow over a sphere in presence of magneto hydrodynamics".</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Check grammar and sentence more carefully.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mashud Parvez
Department, University & Country	Dhaka University of Engineering & Technology, Bangladesh