

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_105148</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of Different Residue Retention and Nutrient Levels on Crop Productivity of Soybean (Glycine max L.) under Conservation Agriculture</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p><b>Yes:</b> <b>Influence of Different Residue Retention and Nutrient Levels on Crop Productivity of Soybean under Conservation Agriculture</b></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Introduction: Change: Traditional intensive agriculture practises .. To .... Traditional intensive agriculture practices Change: Crop residue is an important ... To ..... Crop residue is important Materials and methods Change: evapo-transpiration ... to .... evapotranspiration Results: Change: reflected in to better source sink relationship which is turn enhanced t:he yield attribute. To : reflected into better source-sink relationship which in turn enhanced the yield attribute. Change: HI range between .. To .... HI ranged between Change: found significant effect. ... To ... were found significant effects. Change: Maximum yields found ... To ... Maximum yields were found under Change: which was significantly higher as compare to ... To ... which was significantly higher as compared to Change: Treatment involving lowest residue retention gave lowest net returns .. To ... Treatment involving the lowest residue retention gave the lowest net returns Change; recorded with the treatment ... To .. were recorded with the treatment Change: Lowest net returns obtained ... To ..... Lowest net returns were obtained Change: Similar results obtained .... To ..... Similar results were obtained Change: treatment combination ..... To .... treatment combinations</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abd El-RahmanAbd El-Raouf Ahmed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agricultural Engineering Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt</b>