

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104646
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey Based Diversity of Mango Insect Pests at Jawaharlal Nehru KrishiVishwaVidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community, especially for researchers interested in the field of agricultural pests and ensuring the success of an integrated growth of crop types, especially for the mango plant, in the area under study. 2- Yes, the title is appropriate 3- Yes, the abstract is appropriate and appropriate to the title of the research, and its presentation was sequential and clear 4- Yes, suitable 5- Yes, to some extent 6- The sources are modern and abundant, you do not need to add	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hawraa Yahya Mohammed
Department, University & Country	Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq