

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105376
Title of the Manuscript:	Methodology of Micropropagation of Elite Genotype in Lotus (Nelumbo nucifera) - Lakshmi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Need more clarity . Revise and mention –Why the study is done, How it is done and the results in brief and mention any impact in Horticulture . Ok</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Reduce the references –remove all beyond 2010. Old references can be included only if contribute substantially to the discussion or mentions the origin of a specific Methodology used in the study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language has problems. Please rewrite the yellow high lighted portions.</p> <p>There is no word “unmature” in English. It should be “Immature”.</p> <p>The full names of BAP, NAA should appear at the first time the word was used.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The subject of the paper is interesting. But care is required in the Language and Style.</p> <p>All Tables should be given as part of the Text where each table is discussed and not at the End of the Manuscript.</p> <p>Same data in the Tables and the accompanying Graphs are given. Use either Tables or Graphs (figures). Remove one of them.</p> <p>revise following the commends given, Improve the language, remove either Tables or figures. Need only one of them.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P Soman
Department, University & Country	India