

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_105747
Title of the Manuscript:	STRATEGIC PLANNING IMPLEMENTATION PRACTICES AND SCHOOL PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN TANZANIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Author of the article should explain more clearly the importance and relevance of his research.</p> <p>2. The article lacks deeper discussions and insights in analyzing the problems.</p> <p>3. The list of scientific resources should be checked, as for example, some sources only in the list, but not in the text Burušić, J., Babarović, T., &amp; Velić, M. Š. (2016). School effectiveness: An overview of conceptual, methodological and empirical foundations. <i>School effectiveness and educational management: Towards a south-eastern Europe research and public policy agenda</i>, 5-26.</p> <p>4. I would suggest that the conclusions should be more closely related to the obtained results.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Please check the typing mistakes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dalia Perkumiene</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania</b>