

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_105343
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF ZEOLITE AND NPK PONSKA FERTILIZER ON MAIZE (Zea mays L.) GROWTH IN INCEPTISOL, SOUTHERN SOLOK DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>Abstract is ok</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Adding more recent references is recommended</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is an original piece of research work on the advantages of using the role of zeolite and npk ponska fertilizer on maize (zea mays l.) growth in inceptisol, southern solok district. The review of literature is thorough and up-to-date. The references are very relevant to the particular subject. The tables are systematic and self-explanatory. The results, discussion summary, and conclusion are scientific and adequate. The tables are systematic and self-explanatory. The results, discussion summary, and conclusion are scientific and adequate. Giving 100 kg ha⁻¹ NPK PONSKA was able to provide optimal NPK nutrient uptake, plant height and LAI at 54 DAP. The combination of 100 kg ha⁻¹ zeolite and 200 kg ha⁻¹ NPK PONSKA provided optimal yields of dry shelled maize reaching 5.44 tonnes ha⁻¹.</p> <p>This paper is accepted for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Y. V. Singh
Department, University & Country	I.A.S., B.H.U., India