

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_105800
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable pathways: Exploring Farmers' Perceptions of Cocoa Certification Programme in South-West, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The paper gives clearly useful clues about the perceptions of cocoa farmers on cocoa certification programs based on the sampling of South West, Nigeria. Furthermore, this paper proves valuable towards achieving sustainability from cash crop production and cultivation,</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The map of the study area can be included.</p> <p>What led to the sampling area and choice?</p> <p>Can you elaborate more on the results of the statistical presentation and deductions from the inferences and inferential statistics as done based on the t: student test from Table 7?</p> <p>Can you include charts and graphs to demonstrate the results and data of the descriptive statistics?</p> <p>Can you add the implications for practices, and add before the conclusion or within? Also state key recommendations!</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adewole Olukorede
Department, University & Country	Italy