

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_105068
Title of the Manuscript:	TURP for benign prostatic hyperplasia and analysis the ratio of re-performed resection.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors present their data on repeat TURP in the treatment of BPH at their institution. The authors present a re-operation rate of 4.66% and conclude that those with repeat TURP are at increased risk for complications such as urethral stricture and bladder neck contracture. 2. No, there seems to be an error in the title. Perhaps "Repeat transurethral resection in benign prostatic hyperplasia: a single institutional analysis" would fit better. 3. Yes 4. There are multiple subsections that may be consolidated as they are not very extensive. Section 3 "Familiar with the implementation steps of TURP" seems to make no sense to me. If you are reviewing common steps of TURP then I think it would make more sense to include them within the methods section. I think the results section is very short and could improve from some of the reporting of data from the table. Likewise, the methods section doesn't really define "repeat TURP" adequately. What was the average duration of time between TURPs? 5. I think there is no problem with the statistical aspect of the paper, but think the methods section could benefit from some more explanations, especially of the surgical method employed at the authors' institution. 6. Yes the references are sufficient. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>There are major grammatical and spelling errors throughout the entire manuscript that currently make this article unsuitable for publication. There are several times in reading the introduction and methods where I found it difficult to follow exactly what the authors intended to say due to the grammatical errors. Also, it seems like there are some errors in the order of some of the text within the manuscript. I'm not sure why the manuscript would have a section in the discussion titled "In summary" and a section titled "Conclusion". There appears to be multiple unfinished sentences throughout the paper, but especially within the introduction and discussion that make comprehending the paper difficult.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Haffar
Department, University & Country	Johns Hopkins University, USA