

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number	Ms_AJESS_105462
Title of the Manuscript	Roles of Instructors in Enhancing Open and Distance Learning (ODL) Towards Learners' Academic Progress in Iringa Municipality, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION</p> <p>comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is very important to scientific community as it x-rays the roles of instructors through direct perception.</p> <p>Remove the article "the" Roles of Instructors in Enhancing Open and Distance Learning (ODL) Towards Learners' Academic Progress in Iringa Municipality, Tanzania</p> <p>Indicate/ state the educational problem that lead to the study.</p> <p>Very appropriate</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Most of the citations are obsolete (consider range of 10 years). Most of the citations were not referenced. Adhere strictly to APA 6th edition format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of</p>	<p>Very adequate.</p>	

the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript should be logically presented.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kingsley Toby Onah
Department, University & Country	Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Nigeria